HOW I SURVIVED MY NYS DOL AUDIT

THE SCOPE AND SCOOP

Deborah McGinn Vice President, Finance and Administration



In General

The NYS Department of Labor has increased the number and frequency of audits.

Audits have two lines of investigation:

- Are you paying your employees correctly?
 - Pay for all hours worked
 - Pay the minimum wage
 - Pay overtime when due
 - Provide benefits
- Have you paid the required contributions and maintained the types of coverage on behalf of <u>all</u> employees?
 - NY State Workers Compensation
 - NY State Unemployment Insurance
 - NY State Disability Insurance



The Notification of Audit

- ➤ The call: The NYS DOL auditor called the payroll manager on July 21 to schedule an on-site audit for August 16.
- The follow-up email: The auditor requested 2 pages of 2010 information in advance.
- The on-site visit: The auditor quickly honed in on the 1099s, revealing that it was an issue of employment classification.



The 1099 Situation

- We had contracted with individuals to provide training (in our offices), technical assistance, and program evaluation to afterschool programs.
 - Many were retained year after year.
 - Some were graduate students.
 - Some were former employees.
 - Some were later hired as employees.
- In 2010, we issued 48 1099s, with payments totaling \$292,397.



The Meeting with the Auditor: She Said-He Said

- My claim: None were employees because:
 - the contracts stated that they were independent contractors, without rights to benefits, etc.
 - they worked for other organizations—in some cases, on a full-time basis.
 - they performed their work without our oversight.
 - they had specialized expertise.

- His claim: All were employees because:
 - the contract language alone was insufficient to make them consultants.
 - it didn't matter that they worked for others—people can have two jobs.
 - they worked on our premises and we oversaw their work.
 - they did not have a website which advertised services.



What Came Next

- In October, we received an audit report which claimed we had underreported compensation for 2008, 2009, *and* 2010!
 - The state may go back 3 years, so the auditor extrapolated our underreporting in 2010 to 2008 and 2009.
- With the audit report came a request to pay \$25,700 in unpaid unemployment taxes plus interest based on the auditor's findings that:
 - 50% of our 1099 consultants should have been treated as employees over the 3 years.
 - When the payments were treated as compensation, we had unreported taxable wages of \$311,449 for the 3 years.



And Then What

- > I contacted our attorney, who advised that:
 - the state had increased the frequency of its audits to raise money.
 - we should to pay the amount requested, lest we continue to accrue penalties.
 - we should request a hearing, which would take months to get, and which we probably would not win.
- > I contacted the auditor's supervisor to:
 - explain the situation, hoping he would be more reasonable.
 - ask him to allow us to provide more information for previous years, such as the actual payments, rather than rely on extrapolated amounts.
 - advise him we were requesting a hearing.



Control the Damage

- Based on the follow up information we provided, the auditor reduced our taxes and penalties by more than 75%, from \$25,700 to \$6,309.
 - The auditor agreed to exclude certain consultants who:
 - lived outside the metropolitan area
 - were "doing business as"
 - had specialties (e.g., photography and graphic design) that were unrelated to our core business
 - The actual amounts for all the 2008 and 2009 1099s totaled lower taxable wages than the auditor's extrapolation.



By The Numbers

	1099s		Initial Audit Findings October 2011		Final Audit Report March 2012	
	#	\$	Under Reported Taxable Wages		Under Reported Taxable Wages	
2008	78	\$391,269	\$	143,879	\$	43,893
2009	38	253,133		115,570		35,429
2010	48	292,397		52,000	/	17,912
	164	\$936,799	\$	311,449	\$	97,234
		Unpaid Taxes	\$	19,900	\$	4,835
		Interest Due		5,800		1,474
			\$	25,700	\$	6,309



Life After the Audit: What We Did Differently

- We amended our process for hiring consultants.
 - We became more aware of language in our agreements. For example, we stopped using "reports to" and "provides weekly reports," which suggests employer-level control.
 - We requested proposals from consultants, which substituted for our scope of work.
 - We paid individual's organizations whenever possible.
 - Graduate students became part-time employees.
 - We avoided hiring recently terminated employees.



Advice

- Be prepared and provide all of the information requested.
- If you do the grunt work for the auditors, they are more likely to use your data.
- Understand what you are handing over and know where your weaknesses are.
- Clearly explain your operation to the auditors; they need context for what they're looking at.
- Always cooperate with the auditors, even when you don't want to, because it increases your chances of reasoning with them.

